

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/565,880 | KAMINENI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sue A. Weaver | 3781 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sue A. Weaver. (3) Alan Silverman.
 (2) Carl B Wischhusen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: A video slide show and examples were presented to show the top load improvement with the claimed design.
 Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record and new reference to Sears, Jr. et al US3,357,593 and Bezek US 2003/0080135 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Claim 1 and the dependent claims could be allowed with a 132 declaration and cancellation of the remaining claims
 Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Sue A Weaver
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' attorney has amended the claims to distinguish over the art by reciting that at least one of the plurality of vertical ribs extends through more than one of the plurality of horizontal wavy shaped ribs. This however is considered to be suggested in an alternate embodiment of Sears, et al in Figure 3 showing a plurality of vertical ribs extending through a plurality of lattice ribs defining a plurality of wavy shaped ribs to strengthen a tubular plastic molded body which may be used to form a container. It may also be suggested by the vertical ridges on the Japanese reference JP '647, Yasufumi, cited by applicants. Chang et al already teach a bottle with the wavy shaped ribs extending around the circumference and so does Bezek in a plastic molded container.....

Applicant presented several examples showing bottle designs and a slide show presenting the improvement in light weighting with the claimed version. It was suggested that claim 1 could be allowed with a 132 declaration showing the improved results achieved with the design of claim 1 and cancellation of the other independent claims